

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,591	10/28/2003	Paul Jayachandran Joseph	62020-1560	5369	
24504 75	590 03/07/2006		EXAMINER		
-	AYDEN, HORSTEN A PARKWAY, NW	CULBERT, ROBERTS P			
STE 1750	117Macw711,1111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, G	A 30339-5948		1763		

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/695,591	JOSEPH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>	
Roberts Culbert	1763		

<u></u> .	Nobelts Cuibelt	1703	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forti		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	a filed within two month	ne of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l			ecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>		) i E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	• •	aducina or simplifyina	the issues for
appeal; and/or	common appear by materially is	educing of simplifying	ule issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all _ non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. h. f	1.42	
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	of be entered sinecessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
<ol><li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li></ol>	of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ied.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)Paper	No(s)	
13.		1	
		iN	
		/ / DADWIT LIA 00 4 5 1 1 1	ADEL
	0.10	PARVIZ HASSANZ	AUEN EVANNED

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No. 10/695,591

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new issue that would require further consideration and/or serch is the newly added limitation requiring that the overcoat layer is selected from polynorbornenes, epoxides, polyarylenes, ethers, parylenes and combinations thereof.

m

R. Culbert Examiner Art Unit 1763